Concurrent acquired inhibitors to factor VIII and IX, a laboratory artifact: a case report
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Abstract

Acquired inhibitors to coagulation factors other than factor VIII are extremely rare. We describe a case of a 59-year-old woman with abnormal bleeding, diagnosed with concurrent inhibitor antibodies to factor VIII and IX by Bethesda testing. We demonstrate that anti-FVIII antibodies of a very high titre are capable of disturbing the aPTT-based Bethesda assay, resulting in falsely-positive antibodies to factor IX. The case also illustrates the usefulness of the immunological assay (ELISA) in complementing the inhibitor diagnosis.
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Introduction

Acquired haemophilia is a rare condition caused by production of autoantibodies which inactivate coagulation factors. Inhibitors are most commonly directed to factor (F) VIII (incidence of 1.4 per million), a condition known as acquired haemophilia A (1). Inhibitors to other coagulation factors are much less common (2,3). In 50% of cases, FVIII autoantibodies occur in patients lacking any relevant concomitant disease; the remaining cases may be associated with postpartum period, autoimmune diseases, underlying malignancy or precancerous states, infections, vaccinations or use of medications (4). An acquired inhibitor should be considered in patients with a recent onset of abnormal, often large bleeding, without prior bleeding diathesis (3,5). Typical laboratory findings in acquired haemophilia are abnormal coagulation screening tests, that do not correct with normal plasma, either with immediate or incubated mix. The diagnosis is confirmed by assays of specific factors and demonstration of an inhibitor, using the original or Nijmegen-modified Bethesda assay (1,3). Treatment is directed to both the control of bleeding and the elimination of the inhibitor (4).

The aim of this case report is a presentation of a patient with acquired haemophilia with apparent antibodies against both FVIII and FIX. Our hypothesis was that the finding of autoantibodies against more than one coagulation factor is very rare so inhibitors to FIX in our case were probably a false finding.

Materials and methods

Blood sampling

Blood samples of the patient for coagulation assays were taken into 4.5 mL BD Vacutainer tubes with 0.105 M trisodium citrate (Beckton, Dickinson and Company, Plymouth, United Kingdom). The blood to additive ratio was 9:1. Platelet-poor plasma was prepared by centrifugation at 2000 x g for
15 minutes at 4 °C in a standard bench cooling centrifuge (Multifuge 3 S-R, Heraeus, ThermoScientific, USA) (6). The first part of the laboratory work was done in a specialized haematology laboratory, Department of Haematology, University Medical Centre Ljubljana, Slovenia. Small aliquots of samples (0.5 mL) were frozen at -20 °C and for long-term storage at -80 °C. Some aliquots of frozen samples were sent to Laboratory of Centre for Thrombosis and Haemostasis, Skåne University Hospital in Malmö, Sweden, for further analysis.

Methods

To detect immediate or time dependent inhibition, normal plasma (Instrumentation Laboratory, Bedford, USA) and test plasma samples were tested for activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT) immediately after mixing (50:50) and also after incubation at 37 °C for 120 min (6). FVIII, FIX, FXI and FXII activities were measured by one-stage aPTT-based assay. Hemosil Calibration plasma, Hemosil APTT-SP (liquid) reagent and Hemosil factor FVIII, FIX, FXI and FXII deficiency plasma from Instrumentation Laboratory (Bedford, USA) were used for preparing calibration curves and sample testing. Screening (Hemosil LAC Screen, Bedford, USA) and confirmatory (Hemosil LAC Confirm, Bedford, USA) test based on dilute Rusell’s Viper Venom Time were used for exclusion of lupus anticoagulants. All procedures were carried out according to manufacturer’s instructions.

In Ljubljana, Bethesda assay (6) was used to quantify FVIII and FIX inhibitors. Dilutions of test plasma were incubated with an equal volume of the normal plasma pool (Hemosil Calibration plasma, Bedford, USA) at 37 °C. The normal pool plasma was taken to represent 1 unit of FVIII. Dilutions of a control normal plasma (Hemosil Calibration plasma, Bedford, USA) containing no inhibitor were treated in the same way. An equal volume of normal plasma mixed with buffer (Hemosil Factor diluent, Bedford, USA) was taken to represent the 100 % value. At the end of the incubation period, the residual factor was assayed and the inhibitor strength was calculated from a standard graph of residual factor activity versus inhibitor units. The cut-off for positivity was set at 0.6 BU/mL. All coagulation tests were performed on the ACL TOP automated coagulation analyser (Instrumentation Laboratory, Bedford, USA).

In Malmö coagulation laboratory inhibitory antibodies against FVIII, FIX and FXII were analysed using the Nijmegen modified Bethesda assay (7). The cut-off for a positive inhibitory antibody was set at > 0.4 BU/mL.

In addition, anti-human IgG specific enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) were performed in a manner previously described (8), using recombinant FVIII or FIX concentrates as antigens in separate assays. The cut-off for positivity was calculated based on the mean optical density +3 standard deviations of samples from ten healthy individuals used as controls on each plate. All positive samples were analysed at least twice.

Diagnostic work-up for possible underlying disorders of the patient comprised of: history taking, clinical examination, laboratory tests including tumor markers, serological and immunological tests for autoimmune and infective diseases, x-ray of the chest and ultrasound of the abdomen.

An informed consent was obtained from the patient’s son before presenting the collected data as a case report.

The approval from the national Medical Ethics Committee has been obtained.

Case presentation

A 59-year-old, previously healthy female patient was admitted to the traumatology department of the University Medical Centre Ljubljana, Slovenia after left femur neck fracture in a motorcycle accident. An unexplained coagulopathy was observed during and after surgery, causing vaginal bleeding and extensive haematomas of the right elbow, upper arm, and the right inguinal region. In the screening haemostasis tests we noticed a normal platelet count (197 x 10^9/L), a normal thrombin time of 15.5 s, a prolonged aPTT of 80.6 s and a normal prothrombin time of 0.85; mixing studies revealed inhibitors. FVIII and FIX activities were both under 0.01 IU/mL, with inhibitor titres of 358 BU/mL and 6 BU/mL, respectively. At first blood
drawing we also measured low FXI (< 0.01 IU/mL) and FXII (0.02 IU/mL). Lupus anticoagulants were excluded. The patient was given recombinant factor VIIa (NovoSeven®, NovoNordisk, A/S, Bagsvaerd, Denmark) to control the bleeding, at the same time the immunosuppression with methylprednisolone 1 mg/kg and cyclophosphamide 100 mg daily was started. Because of considerable blood loss, she received several transfusions of red blood cells. In two weeks’ time we noticed a subsequent decline of the inhibitors, from the maximum of 389 BU/mL to 109 BU/mL for FVIII, and from the maximum of 7 BU/mL to 0 BU/mL for FIX. There were no signs of underlying malignancy, autoimmune diseases or infections, and no offending drugs. The results of concurrent inhibitors to FVIII and to FIX, the latter in a much lower titre than inhibitors to FVIII, detected in Ljubljana laboratory by Bethesda assay, are presented in Figure 1.

By using the Bethesda-Nijmegen assay in Malmö, dual inhibitors in the initial blood samples of our patient were confirmed. Again, FVIII inhibitor titres were much higher (from 73 to 217 BU/mL) than FIX inhibitor titres (2.5 to 4 BU/mL).

ELISA, using recombinant FIX and FVIII concentrates as antigens, revealed positive antibodies against FVIII only.

Table 1. Inhibitor antibodies of our patient (Samples No.1-4) and the “Malmö patient”, measured by Bethesda-Nijmegen assay and ELISA assay in Malmö coagulation laboratory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>anti-FVIII (BU/mL)</th>
<th>anti-FIX (BU/mL)</th>
<th>anti-FVIII (ELISA)</th>
<th>anti-FIX (ELISA)</th>
<th>anti-FXII (BU/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No.1</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>pos</td>
<td>neg</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.2</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>pos</td>
<td>neg</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.3</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>pos</td>
<td>neg</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.4</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>pos</td>
<td>neg</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Malmö patient”</td>
<td>1429</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>pos</td>
<td>neg</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subsequently, a Bethesda-Nijmegen mixing experiment with FXII-deficient plasma instead of FIX was performed; again a positive anti-FXII titre of 4 BU/mL was found, exactly as obtained previously for FIX. Additionally, a sample from another patient with a very high anti-FVIII inhibitor titre of 1429 BU/mL from the Malmö hospital ("Malmö patient" in Table 1) was analysed and resulted in an anti-FIX Bethesda titre of 14 BU/mL.

The results of our patient’s samples, together with the “Malmö patient”, all of which were tested in the Malmö Laboratory, are presented in Table 1. Presented are results of the Nijmegen-Bethesda assay and ELISA.

The immunosuppression was tapered until four months later, when it was discontinued because of a postoperative wound infection. At that point FVIII activity was 0.03 IU/ml with an antibody titre of 3 BU/mL, while FIX activity was normal (1.31 IU/ml). In the following three years we observed a spontaneous rise of FVIII activity to a maximum of 0.15 IU/mL, with inhibitor titres falling from 6 to 0.7 BU/mL. During that time the patient suffered only one bleeding, namely haemarthrosis of the left knee, which was treated with 3 doses of NovoSeven.

Because of the patient’s constant pain in the left hip, removal of the osteosynthesis material was
planned. With the intention of preoperative eradication of the remaining inhibitors, four doses of rituximab 375 mg/m² weekly were prescribed, which ultimately led to a complete eradication of FVIII inhibitors, three years and a half after initial diagnosis.

An inoperable metastatic neuroendocrine microcellular lung carcinoma was diagnosed a year later, causing the patient’s death.

**Discussion**

With this case report we demonstrate that a patient, initially diagnosed with concurrent inhibitors to both FVIII and FIX, actually had only inhibitors to FVIII. Secondly, we show that clotting assays, such as Bethesda or Nijmegen-modified Bethesda assay can give us false results. We confirm the fact described previously, that an inhibitor to a single clotting factor can interfere with the assays of other coagulation factors, selectively reducing the target factor but there may be some apparent reduction in other factor levels due to an inhibitory effect on the factor deficient plasma used in the assay (5). This is best documented with FVIII inhibitors, where all intrinsic factors may apparently be low due to inhibition of FVIII in the intrinsic factor-deficient plasma. In such cases, serial dilution should result in a correction of the non-specifically reduced factors while the specifically reduced factors should remain low (3,9). We have indeed measured decreased FVIII (< 0.01 IU/mL), FIX (< 0.01 IU/mL), FXI (< 0.01 IU/mL) and FXII (0.02 IU/mL) in the initial samples from our patient by using the one-stage aPTT assay.

As the majority of acquired antibodies are directed against FVIII (2), acquired inhibitors to factor IX are only occasionally reported (10-14). Similarly, to FVIII autoantibodies, they seem to occur more frequently in association with autoimmune disorders or postpartum (15). In contrast to FVIII inhibitors, which may show a time dependency for inhibition, FIX inhibitors are immediate-acting (3,5). Initial results of concurrent inhibitors to FVIII and FIX, diagnosed by Bethesda assay (6) in Ljubljana were met with scepticism. However, the finding of dual inhibitors was confirmed in Malmö, using the Bethesda-Nijmegen assay protocol (7), which is supposed to better discriminate between positive and negative samples at the lower range (3,9,16). Similarly, to results obtained in Ljubljana, FVIII inhibitor titres were much higher (from 73 to 217 BU/mL) than FIX inhibitor titres (2.5 to 4 BU/mL).

ELISA, performed in a manner previously described (8), using recombinant FVIII or FIX concentrates as antigens, confirmed our assuming that the dual Bethesda positivity was an *in vitro* finding. The results indicated a strong positivity for anti-FVIII IgG, but not for the antibodies against FIX.

According to the literature, false detection of inhibitor antibodies is not so rare, reported in up to 30% of samples analysed by Nijmegen assay (17) and a common reason for results similar to ours is heparin contamination of the sample (18).

When suspecting an acquired haemophilia, it is also important to rule out lupus anticoagulants as a possible reason for prolonged aPTT (1,3). On the contrary, lupus anticoagulants usually cause thrombosis, not bleeding. They can sometimes mimic FVIII inhibitors, which results in a decrease of most of coagulation factors. An ELISA test for FVIII inhibitor screening is often advised when phospholipid antibodies interfere with the Bethesda assay (4). Favalaro et al. advise using ELISA in all cases in which clot-based assays may be influenced by the presence of other antibodies or by heparin contamination from venous access devices. Clot-based assays are less sensitive than ELISA assays, but the ELISA assays, in contrast, lack specificity because they detect both inhibitory and non-inhibitory (so-called ‘non-neutralising’) antibodies (17).

Figure 1 demonstrates the measured titres of anti-FVIII and anti-FIX antibodies, obtained by using the Bethesda assay in Ljubljana laboratory. A dose-dependent effect of anti-FVIII antibodies on the anti-FIX assay can be observed, i.e. the risk of getting false positive anti-FIX results increases with the titre of anti-FVIII inhibitors. In our case the dual positivity (false positive anti-FIX antibodies) is apparent above approx. 110 BU/mL of anti-FVIII, assuming that the cut-off for positive anti-FIX is 0.6 BU/mL. A similar graph was obtained using titres
of antibodies, measured by Nijmegen-Bethesda assay in the Laboratory in Malmö.

To our best knowledge there are only three reports in the literature describing concurrent inhibitors to factor VIII and IX (19-21). Shen et al. described a 14-year-old girl with systemic lupus erythematosus, presenting with ecchymoses and macrohematuria. To attenuate the effect of the FIX inhibitor on the FVIII measurement, the factor assays were repeated at higher serial dilutions of the patient’s plasma with FVIII deficient plasma, and vice versa. Inhibitors of FVIII and FIX showed positive findings with 6 and 4 Bethesda units, respectively (19). Brasilian authors presented a case of a 52-year-old man with chronic hepatitis C, who received antiviral treatment with pegylated interferon plus ribavirin (20). In this patient, inhibitor antibodies against FVIII were detected in a 70-times higher titre than the inhibitors to FIX. Similarly, to our case, the much lower titre of anti-FIX antibodies could have been an artefact, caused by a disturbance of the Bethesda assay by a high titre of anti-FVIII antibodies. Carmassi and colleagues report a case of a 64-year-old man with a history of cutaneous vasculitis and Sjögren syndrome, presenting with extensive muscular and subcutaneous haematomas. FVIII and FIX activities were 0.05 IU/mL and 0.56 IU/mL, respectively, and the corresponding inhibitor titres for FVIII and FIX were 25 BU/mL and 7 BU/mL, respectively. To prevent the interference of FVIII inhibitors on FIX, the authors performed the assay at multiple dilutions (21). The ELISA test was not performed in any of the three reports. Our study is yielding possible explanation of the above described results. The strength of our study is utilisation of both the classical Bethesda and the Nijmegen modification of the Bethesda assay; the use of the latter is supposed to reduce weak false positive inhibitor titres. An additional advantage is the utilisation of ELISA, which finally discriminates between truly and falsely positive antibodies.

The limitations of our study are that we did not perform all the tests, since we did not plan to publish the case at that time. In Ljubljana we checked only inhibitors to FVIII and FIX as those are the most common (15, 22). When we obtained positive anti-FIX and anti-FXII antibodies by Nijmegen-Bethesda assay, we did not measure anti-FXI antibodies by Bethesda-Nijmegen assay, however we expected them to be positive too. When analysing the "Malmo" patient, we also performed only anti-FVIII and anti-FIX antibodies but nothing else after negative anti-FIX by ELISA.

In conclusion, we have shown that anti-FVIII antibodies of a very high titre are capable of disturbing an aPTT-based neutralization assay such as Bethesda, which results in falsely positive antibodies to other coagulation factors. An important message is not to rely on a single Bethesda assay test result. To avoid identification of false inhibitors we must keep in mind that acquired antibodies to FVIII are by far the most common (1). Sometimes a clue for the “true” inhibitor is obtained by the “relative” deficiencies observed (e.g., a FVIII level that is undetectable and detectable but low FIX, FXI and/or FXII is likely to be a FVIII inhibitor) (5). However, this was not the case in our patient. Our case report illustrates the usefulness of immunological assays to complement the inhibitor diagnosis.
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