

Analytical evaluation of the clinical chemistry analyzer Olympus AU2700 plus

Jasna Juricek*, Lovorka Derek, Adriana Unic, Tihana Serdar, Domagoj Marijancevic, Marcela Zivkovic, Zeljko Romic

Clinical Department for Laboratory Diagnostics, Dubrava Univeristy Hospital, Zagreb, Croatia

*Corresponding author: jjuricek@kdb.hr

Abstract

Background: The objective of this study was to perform the analytical evaluation of the clinical chemistry analyzer Olympus AU2700 plus. The evaluation was performed according to the guidelines of the European Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (ECCLS).

Materials and methods: The evaluation consisted of determination of within-run and between-run imprecision, inaccuracy and comparison with Olympus AU2700. The tested analytes were: glucose, creatinine, urate, total bilirubin, cholesterol, tryglicerides, calcium, phosphate, iron, unsaturated iron binding capacity, aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, lactate dehydrogenase, creatine kinase, alpha-amylase, alkaline phosphatase, potassium, sodium, chloride, C-reactive protein, antistreptolysin O and rheumatoid factor.

Results: The results showed low within-run and between-run imprecision and acceptable inaccuracy for all analytes. High correlation with AU2700 analyzer was found. Intercept and slope (with 95% confidence interval) met the preferences of Passing-Bablok regression for all of the analytes except alanine aminotransferase, sodium, rheumatoid factor, creatine kinase, total bilirubin and tryglicerides.

Conclusions: Olympus AU2700 plus analyzer shows acceptable precision and accuracy for majority of analytes, with the exception of sodium and chloride. Instrument is fully comparable with Olympus AU2700 analyzer for all but several analytes (ALT, RF, sodium, CK, total bilirubin and tryglicerides), where only some minor deviations (constant and proportional difference) were observed.

Key words: Olympus AU2700 plus; analytical evaluation; imprecision; inaccuracy; Passing Bablok regression analysis

Received: March 19, 2010

Accepted: September 06, 2010

Introduction

Olympus AU2700 plus (Olympus CO Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) is the new Olympus platform designed for clinical chemistry laboratories with large number of samples. Analytical evaluation of Olympus AU2700 plus analyzer was conducted upon the arrival of the analyzer at Clinical Department for Laboratory Diagnostic, Dubrava University Hospital, according to the protocol of the European Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (ECCLS) (1).

Analytical evaluation of the analyzer and methods should be done before the introduction of the new analyzer into the routine use in order to confirm declared specifications of the analytical methods (2–4).

Olympus AU2700 is an automated, multi-channel, selective analyzer where the measurements are carried out using the spectrophotometry, turbidimetry and indirect potentiometry (using ion-selective electrode - ISE unit) in serum, urine, cerebrospinal fluid, and other types of body fluids. Analyzer can process 1600 tests per hour, including 270 ISE unit tests on the working temperature of 37 ± 0.3 °C. It is possible to program 99 different analyses at most. Analyzer can process 51 analyses simultaneously (48 different analysis and 3 electrolytes analysis on ISE unit). Olympus AU2700 plus has the same settings as the Olympus AU2700. Reagents are one- or two-component, lo-

cated in two separate thermostated containers (4-12 °C). Analyzer uses the original reagents that are recognized using barcode readers or reagents from other manufacturers that are placed into specific positions in the reagent containers. Type of reaction determines the number of reagents used for the specific test. Samples are pipetted using a mechanical arm with two needles, which enables simultaneous pipetting in two parallel cuvettes. Analyzer has the possibility of continuous control monitoring, and statistical data processing obtained during operation (daily control and day-to-day control).

In this study we aimed to assess the analytical performance of 22 analytes determined on Olympus AU2700 plus analyzer (Beckman Coulter, Tokyo, Japan). For these analytes, a comparison with the Olympus AU2700 analyzer (Olympus CO Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) was also done.

Materials and methods

Methods

The tested analytes were as follows: glucose, creatinine (Creat), urate, total bilirubin (Bil), cholesterol (Chol), tryglicerides (TG), calcium (Ca), phosphate (IP), iron (Fe), unsaturated iron binding capacity (UIBC), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), lactate dehydrogenase (LD), creatine kinase (CK), alpha-amylase (AMY), alkaline phosphatase (AP), potassium (K), sodium (Na), chloride (Cl), C-reactive protein (CRP), anti-streptolysin O (ASO) and rheumatoid factor (RF). Testing was conducted at the Clinical Department for Laboratory Diagnostics, University Hospital Dubrava, Zagreb, Croatia. Olympus Life and Material Science Europa GmbH reagents (Hamburg, Germany) and reagents of Herbos Diagnostika d.o.o. (Sisak, Croatia) manufacturer were used. To validate the complete analytical performance of the analyser, for each method that analyzer performs (spectrophotometry, turbidimetry and indirect potentiometry) several analytes were chosen. Methods and reagents used for this validation are presented in Table 1. A commercial lyophilized control samples of human origin (Control Serum Level 1,

ODC0003, lot 017; Control Serum Level 2, ODC0004, lot 018; ITA control serum level 1, ODC0014, lot and 0016K ITA control serum level 2, ODC0015, lot 0017 - Olympus Life and Material Science Europa GmbH (Hamburg, Germany) were used for evaluation. ITA control serum samples are used for CRP, RF and ASO, while Olympus Control Serum Level 1 and 2 are used for all other parameters. Commercial pool serum prepared at the Croatian Institute of Transfusion Medicine (Zagreb, Croatia) was also used for the assessment. Calibrations for the tested analytes were performed using recommended procedures for the evaluated analyzer, using the original calibrators (System Calibrator 66300, lot 0113D, Serum protein multi-Calibrator 1, ODR3021, lot 0026 and ISE low and high standard serum - Olympus Life and Material Science Europa GmbH (Hamburg, Germany).

Analytical evaluation

Analytical evaluation of analyzer included the determination of within-run and between-run imprecision, inaccuracy (comparing to the declared values of the control sample) and methods comparison. Within-run and between-run imprecision were used to determine the extent of random error and accuracy (bias) was used to detect the extent of systematic error affecting the measurement (5,6).

Between-run imprecision was determined measuring the concentration of analytes in the control sera of different concentration ranges (Level 1, Level 2, ITA 1, ITA 2) and pool serum in duplicate during the period of 30 days. Imprecision was expressed as the mean and the coefficient of variation (CV%). Within-run imprecision was determined in duplicate on 30 consecutive measurements of different analyte concentrations in control sera (Level 1, Level 2, ITA 1, ITA 2) and pool serum and also expressed as a coefficient of variation (CV%).

Inaccuracy of measurement of control samples was shown as bias (B%), percentage of deviation of the analyte mean value from the control sera declared mean value. To calculate the bias, measured values from day-to day imprecision were used.

Obtained values for precision and bias were assessed by comparing with the specifications derived

TABLE 1. Methods and reagents used in the evaluation of Olympus AU2700 plus.

Analyte	Unit	Method	Manufacturer	Linearity
Glu	mmol/L	hexokinase	Olympus	0-45
Crea	μmol/L	Jaffe - continuous	Olympus	18-2200
Urate	μmol/L	uricase PAP	Herbos	10-1000
Bil	μmol/L	DPD method	Olympus	0-513
Chol	mmol/L	CHOD PAP	Olympus	0.5-18.0
TG	mmol/L	GPO PAP	Herbos	0-10
Ca	mmol/L	arsenazo III chromogene	Olympus	1.0-5.0
IP	mmol/L	Amonium-molibdate	Olympus	0.32-6.40
Fe	μmol/L	TPTZ	Olympus	2-179
UIBC	μmol/L	Nitroso PSAP	Olympus	9.85-80.6
AST	U/L	Opt. UV 37°C without PF	Herbos	0-250
ALT	U/L	Opt. UV 37°C without PF	Herbos	0-250
LD	U/L	IFCC Lactate NMG 37°C	Olympus	25-1200
CK	U/L	IFCC CK NAC 37°C	Olympus	10-1500
AMY	U/L	IFCC EPS-G7 37°C	Olympus	10-1500
ALP	U/L	IFCC, pNPP-AMP 37°C	Olympus	5-1500
K	mmol/L	potentiometry	Olympus	1.0-10.0
Na	mmol/L	potentiometry	Olympus	50-200
Cl	mmol/L	potenciometry	Olympus	50-200
CRP	mg/L	immunoturbidimety	Olympus	0-480
ASO	IU/L	immunoturbidimety	Olympus	10-600
RF	IU/ml	immunoturbidimety	Olympus	5-120

DPD – 3,5-dichlorophenyl-diazonium-tetrafluoroborate; CHOD – cholesterol oxidase; GPO - glycerol phosphate oxidase; TPTZ – 2,4,6-Tri-(2-pyridil)-5-triazine; Nitroso PSAP - nitroso-5-(N-propyl-N-sulfopropylamino)phenol; PF – pyridoxal phosphate; NMG – D (-) N-methylglucamine; NAC – N-acetylcysteine; EPS-G7 – 4,6-ethylidene(G7)-p-nitrophenyl(G1)-α-D-maltoheptaoside; pNPP-AMP – p-nitrophenyl phosphate with 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol

Control sera for CRP, ASO and RF: ITA level 1 and 2; all other analytes: Olympus Level 1 and 2.

from biological variation (7). The desirable specifications for imprecision and bias, derived from biological variation are presented in Table 2.

Comparison of results obtained on Olympus AU2700 plus and Olympus AU2700 analyzers was conducted on 50 sera samples with a wide range of values. The sera used for the comparison were collected at different hospital departments of Dubrava University Hospital due to the routine hospital check-up of the patients. The samples were randomly chosen for the comparison and were centrifuged immediately upon the arrival in Clinical Department for Laboratory Diagnostics, Dubrava University Hospital, at 1000 x g for 15 minutes in 35 R Rotina Hettich centrifuge (Tuttlingen,

Germany). All the samples were collected in BD Vacutainer glass serum tubes. The commercial pool sera used were stored at -20°C until the analysis.

Statistical analysis

Statistical methods included the calculation of mean, coefficient of variation (CV%) and bias (B%). Pearson correlation coefficient and Passing-Bablok regression, including the Cusum test for linearity were calculated for the method comparison. The level of significance was set at $P < 0.01$.

Statistical analysis, including descriptive statistics, was performed using MedCalc 9.2.0.0 software (MedCalc, Mariakerke, Belgium).

TABLE 2. Desirable specifications for imprecision and bias derived from intra- and inter-individual biologic variation for the tested analytes, day-to-day, within-run imprecision and inaccuracy.

Analyte	Declared Level 1	Declared Level 2	Unit	Biological variation		Desirable specification		Day-to-day imprecision				Within-run imprecision				Inaccuracy			
				CVw	CVg	I (%)	B (%)	LEVEL1	LEVEL2	POOL	Mean	CV(%)	LEVEL1	LEVEL2	POOL	Mean	CV(%)	LEVEL1	LEVEL2
Glu	5.3	12.5	mmol/L	5.7	6.9	2.9	2.2	2.29	1.36	5.68	1.73	1.53	1.21	5.76	1.86	1.01	0.11		
Crea	116	452	µmol/L	5.3	14.2	2.7	3.8	2.37	2.09	104.80	1.95	1.78	1.50	104.87	1.45	1.81	1.09		
Urate	298	540	µmol/L	9.0	17.6	4.5	4.9	1.82	1.26	332.83	1.05	1.61	1.13	339.70	2.09	1.26	0.64		
Bil	25.6	115	µmol/L	23.8	39.0	11.9	11.4	2.69	2.52	13.16	2.59	2.03	2.02	12.61	3.74	1.22	0.53		
Chol	4.08	7.84	mmol/L	5.4	15.2	2.7	4.0	1.77	1.36	5.18	0.96	1.52	1.47	5.10	2.59	0.64	0.01		
TG	1.87	3.73	mmol/L	20.9	37.2	10.5	10.7	2.69	1.81	1.35	2.01	1.93	1.72	1.47	2.04	0.59	0.84		
Ca	2.26	3.30	mmol/L	1.9	2.8	1.0	0.8	1.74	1.28	2.42	0.81	1.76	1.48	2.37	1.03	0.78	0.27		
IP	1.86	3.22	mmol/L	8.5	9.4	4.3	3.2	3.57	2.36	1.14	1.73	1.43	1.23	1.33	1.11	2.35	0.16		
Fe	11.5	34.5	µmol/L	26.5	23.2	13.3	8.8	3.51	1.19	12.50	4.86	2.03	1.68	13.56	1.56	2.35	0.49		
UIBC	23.2	44.5	µmol/L	-	-	-	-	3.90	1.93	38.04	1.61	2.22	2.12	38.27	1.40	0.68	0.18		
AST	44	128	U/L	11.9	17.9	6.0	5.4	1.96	1.51	35.27	1.81	2.32	1.69	37.10	1.30	0.61	0.31		
ALT	42	123	U/L	24.3	41.6	12.2	12.0	2.09	1.81	44.50	1.53	2.50	1.13	43.27	2.01	0.40	0.60		
LD	151	537	U/L	8.6	14.7	4.3	4.3	3.39	1.59	230.63	2.40	1.88	1.66	226.83	1.09	1.81	0.01		
CK	150	387	U/L	22.8	40.0	11.4	11.5	2.11	1.57	189.07	1.05	1.90	1.63	189.07	1.05	0.87	0.50		
AMY	85	241	U/L	8.7	28.3	4.4	7.4	2.58	2.23	63.60	1.28	2.51	2.80	65.77	1.77	0.94	0.11		
ALP	112	492	U/L	6.4	24.8	3.2	6.4	3.77	1.79	66.83	2.04	2.51	3.03	74.07	1.70	3.45	0.68		
K	3.8	6.4	mmol/L	4.8	5.6	2.4	1.8	1.91	1.28	4.51	0.56	1.46	1.10	4.67	1.28	0.97	1.15		
Na	118	149	mmol/L	0.7	1.0	0.4	0.3	1.46	1.34	140.60	0.66	1.15	1.17	145.33	1.03	0.23	1.86		
Cl	90	112	mmol/L	1.2	1.5	0.6	0.5	1.50	0.97	103.53	0.75	1.58	1.05	108.70	0.94	0.52	1.31		
CRP	18.3	32	mg/L	42.2	76.3	21.1	21.8	1.27	1.18	23.56	1.43	1.75	1.71	23.41	1.45	0.69	1.43		
ASO	149	304	IU/L	-	-	-	-	1.67	0.83	102.45	2.36	1.60	1.23	100.97	2.90	5.41	1.73		
RF	23.7	53.2	IU/ml	8.5	24.5	4.3	6.5	2.90	1.27	15.55	7.07	3.15	2.26	16.29	4.10	0.35	1.86		

CVw – within-subject biologic variation; CVg – between-subject biologic variation; I = desirable specification for imprecision; B = desirable specification for inaccuracy

Results

Results of day-to-day imprecision are shown in Table 2. Coefficients of variation for day-to-day imprecision ranged as follows: in Level 1 from 1.46 % to 3.90%; in Level 2 from 0.97 to 2.52%; in ITA 1 from 1.27% to 2.90%; in ITA 2 from 0.83% to 1.27% and in pool serum from 0.56% to 7.07%.

The results of within-run imprecision are shown in Table 2. Coefficients of variation for within-run imprecision ranged as follows: in Level 1 from 1.15% to 2.51%; in Level 2 from 1.05% to 3.03%; in ITA 1 from 1.60% to 3.15%; in ITA 2 from 1.23% to 2.26% and for pool serum from 0.94 to 3.74%.

Test results for inaccuracy of control sera in two concentration levels are also shown in Table 2. For Level 1, bias ranged from 0.23% to 3.45%; for Level 2 from 0.01% to 1.86%; for ITA 1 0.35 to 0.69% and for ITA 2 from 1.43 to 1.86%.

Comparison of the results obtained on the Olympus AU2700 plus and Olympus AU2700 Olympus yielded the correlation coefficients between 0.961 and 1.000 (Table 3). The target values used for the

calculation of inaccuracy were provided by the manufacturer of the commercial control sera. The slope and y-axis intercept as well as their respective 95% confidence intervals (8) obtained using Passing-Bablok regression for all analytes are presented in Table 3.

The Cusum linearity test performed on Passing-Bablok regression showed that there was no significant deviation from linearity for any analyte ($P > 0.10$) (9).

Discussion

The results of this analytical validation showed acceptable coefficients of variation for day-to-day imprecision, within-run imprecision, as well as a satisfactory degree of accuracy. For setting the criteria for acceptable imprecision and inaccuracy, we considered the biological variations of analytes (7,10,11). Depending on the measurement procedure, measuring instruments and compliance with a reference or definitive method, we can assess whether the new method or analyzer is suitable

TABLE 3. Correlation and Passing-Bablok regression data for Olympus AU2700 plus and Olympus AU2700 comparison.

Analyte	r	Intercept A	95% CI of intercept	Slope B	95% CI of slope
Glu	0.998	-0.146	-0.289-0.100	1.010	1.000-1.030
Crea	0.998	-2.000	-4.808-1.474	1.000	0.995-1.031
Urate	0.998	-2.000	-6.535-3.652	1.000	0.984-1.022
Bil	1.000	0.047	-0.165-0.332	1.027	1.007-1.046
Chol	0.998	-0.060	-0.136-0.013	0.994	0.979-1.009
TG	0.999	0.054	0.006-0.086	0.968	0.944-0.985
Ca	0.986	-0.030	-0.136-0.057	1.000	0.962-1.048
IP	0.998	0.005	-0.029-0.020	1.009	1.000-1.032
Fe	0.996	0.243	-0.040-0.508	0.979	0.954-1.008
UIBC	0.992	0.066	-1.461-1.373	0.990	0.947-1.031
AST	0.999	0.00	0.000-0.000	1.000	1.000-1.000
ALT	1.000	1.000	0.290-1.000	1.000	1.000-1.032
LD	0.995	2.259	-6.117-10.544	0.989	0.957-1.025
CK	0.999	0.064	-0.494-1.737	1.022	1.014-1.022
Amy	0.998	0.412	0.000-1.268	0.993	0.986-1.000
ALP	0.998	1.000	-1.000-4.478	1.000	0.957-1.031
K	0.987	0.000	0.000-0.000	1.000	1.000-1.000
Na	0.961	1.000	1.000-16.667	1.000	0.889-1.000
Cl	0.983	1.000	-10.944-1.000	1.000	1.000-1.111
CRP	0.999	-0.330	-0.452-0.200	1.008	1.000-1.020
ASO	0.990	-1.770	-2.547-0.721	0.996	0.959-1.018
RF	0.998	1.035	0.677-1.377	0.992	0.955-1.017

P for all comparisons < 0.001.

for routine use, and whether they are of satisfactory accuracy (2,3,5,12). For most analytes tested in this study, the results of imprecision and bias were in the range of desirable specifications for imprecision and bias, derived from biological variation (10,11). Bias and imprecision for sodium and chloride did not fulfil required criteria. However, it is important to emphasize that these criteria are very strict: bias of 0.4% and 0.6% and imprecision of 0.3% and 0.5%, for sodium and chloride, respectively. The reason for not fulfilling the desirable criteria for sodium and chloride is the low biological variation, as well as the lack of analytical method capable to follow that variation with the results that are precise and accurate enough. However, laboratories must be aware of this limitation, and ensure smaller measurement uncertainty using quality control tools (participation in external quality control assessment, control of preanalytical and postanalytical phase) (13,14).

Correlation analysis yielded high correlation coefficients proving high correlation for all the tested parameters.

Passing-Bablok regression showed that most analytes did not differ by a constant (95% CI of intercept includes 0), and that were well aligned, suggesting that there were no proportional differences between methods (95% CI of slope includes 1) (9). Despite of high correlations, some analytes did

not meet the preferences of Passing-Bablok regression. When following Passing-Bablok regression strict criteria, ALT, RF, and sodium differed by a constant amount for both analyzers and the proportional difference between methods was found for CK, total bilirubin and triglycerides (Table 3). Passing-Bablok regression yielded a wide range of 95% CI of intercept for sodium, and that should also be considered when defining the analytical objectives. Unlike sodium, ALT and RF are a bit beyond the requested criteria (95% CI of intercept for ALT was 0.290-1.000 and 0.677-1.377 for RF), so in the analytical sense, those analytes follow the same linearity. Similar is the case with the CK, total bilirubin and triglycerides whose 95% CI of slope was very close to 1 (1.014-1.022, 1.007-1.046 and 0.944-0.985 respectively), therefore the analysis can be considered acceptably aligned.

Conclusion

Olympus AU2700 plus analytical analyzer shows acceptable precision and accuracy for majority of analytes, with the exception of sodium and chloride. Instrument is fully comparable with Olympus AU2700 analytical analyzer for all but several analytes (ALT, RF, sodium, CK, total bilirubin and tryglicerides) where only some minor deviations (constant and proportional difference) were observed.

References

1. European Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. *Guidelines for Evaluation of Analyzers in Clinical Chemistry*. Berlin, Koln: ECCLS Beuth Verlag, 1986;1-32.
2. Elefano EC, Jabeen R, Onifade K, Okorodudu AO, Petersen JR, Mohammad AA. Analytical evaluation of HgbA1c, microalbumin, CRP, and RF on Architect ci8200 integrated system and workflow performance evaluation using computer simulation *Clin Chim Acta* 2006;366:204-8.
3. Hubl W, Zogbaum M, Boyd JC, Savory J, Schubert M, Meyer D, Demant T. Evaluation of analytical methods and workflow performance of the Architect ci8200 integrated serum/plasma analyzer system. *Clin Chim Acta* 2005;357:43-54.
4. Nikolac N, Simundic AM, Vukelic V, Papic Futac D, Vrkic N. Analytical validation of therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) on AxSYM Abbott analyzer. *Biochem Med* 2010;20:253-60.
5. Lasnier E, Mario N, Boque MC, You SN, Vaubourdolle M. Evaluation of the Clinical Chemistry Analyser Olympus AU400. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2000;38:1043-9.
6. Gasljevic V. Method validation and measurement uncertainty. *Biochem Med* 2010;20:57-63.
7. Ricos C, Perich C, Minchinela J, Alvarez V, Simon M, Biosca C, et al. Application of biological variation - a review. *Biochem Med* 2009;19:250-9.

8. Simundic AM. Confidence interval. *Biochem Med* 2008;18: 154-61.
9. Passing H, Bablok W. A new biometrical procedure for testing the equality of measurements from two different analytical methods. *J Clin Chem Clin Biochem* 1983;709-20.
10. Ricos C, Alvarez V, Cava F, Garcia-Lario JV, Hernandez A, Jimenez CV, et al. Current databases on biologic variation: pros, cons and progress. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest* 1999;59: 491-500.
11. The Westgard Web Lessons. Available at: <http://www.westgard.com/lesson.htm>. Accessed October 5, 2009.
12. Miler M, Simundic AM, Stefanovic M, Ferenec-Ruzic D, Kvaternik M, Topic E, Vrkic N. A model for results comparison on two different biochemistry analyzers in laboratory accredited according to the ISO 15189. *Biochem Med* 2009;19:287-93.
13. Sikaris K. Analytical Quality – What should we be aiming for? *Clin Biochem Rev suppl* 2008;29:Suppl 5-10.
14. Sonntag O. Quality in the analytical phase. *Biochem Med* 2010;20:147-53.

Analitička procjena analizatora Olympus AU2700 plus

Sažetak

Uvod: Cilj ovog ispitivanja bio je provesti analitičku procjenu analizatora Olympus AU2700 plus za pretrage iz kliničke kemije. Procjena je napravljena prema smjernicama Europskog povjerenstva za standarde kliničkih laboratorija (engl. *European Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, ECCLS*).

Materijali i metode: Procjenjivalo se određivanje nepreciznosti u seriji i iz dana u dan, netočnost i korelacija s analizatorom Olympus AU2700. Ispitani analiti bili su: glukoza, kreatinin, urat, ukupni bilirubin, kolesterol, trigliceridi, kalcij, fosfat, željezo, nezasićeni kapacitet vezanja željeza, aspartat-aminotransferaza, alanin-aminotransferaza, laktat-dehidrogenaza, kreatinin-kinaza, alfa-amilaza, alkalna fosfataza, kalij, natrij, klorid, C-reaktivni protein, antistreptolizin O i reumatoidni faktor.

Rezultati: Rezultati ispitivanja su za sve analite pokazali nisku nepreciznost u seriji i iz dana u dan te prihvatljivu netočnost. Primijećena je visoka korelacija s analizatorom AU2700. Vrijednosti odsječka i nagiba pravca (s intervalom pouzdanosti od 95%) zadovoljili su kriterije regresijske analize po Passing-Babloku za sve analite osim za alanin-aminotransferazu, natrij, reumatoidni faktor, kreatin-kinazu, ukupni bilirubin i trigliceride.

Zaključak: Analizator Olympus AU2700 plus pokazuje prihvatljivu preciznost i točnost za većinu analita s iznimkom natrija i klorida. Instrument je potpuno usporediv s analizatorom Olympus AU2700 za sve analite osim njih nekoliko (ALT, RF, natrij, CK, ukupni bilirubin i trigliceridi) gdje se pojavljuju samo manja odstupanja (konstantna i proporcionalna razlika).

Ključne riječi: Olympus A2700 plus; analitička procjena; nepreciznost; netočnost; regresijska analiza po Passing-Babloku