### **Original articles**

# The neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio to predict poor prognosis of critical acute myocardial infarction patients: a retrospective cohort study

Wenhui Wang<sup>1</sup>, Linlin Liu<sup>1</sup>, Zhongping Ning<sup>2</sup>, Lin Che<sup>3</sup>, Xinming Li<sup>\*4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Tongji University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Cardiology, Shanghai University of Medicine & Health Sciences Affiliated Zhoupu Hospital, China <sup>3</sup>Department of Cardiology, Shanghai Tongji Hospital, Tongji University School of Medicine, China <sup>4</sup>Shanghai Pudong New Area Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Shanghai, China

The first two authors contributed equally to this work.

\*Corresponding author: xinming06@163.com

#### Abstract

Introduction: Inflammation is closely related to adverse outcomes of acute myocardial infarction (AMI). This study aimed to evaluate whether neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) can predict poor prognosis of critical AMI patients.

**Materials and methods:** We designed a retrospective cohort study and extracted AMI patients from the "Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care-III" database. The primary outcome was 1-year all-cause mortality. The secondary outcomes were 90-day and in-hospital all-cause mortalities, and acute kidney injury (AKI) incidence. The optimal cut-offs of NLR were picked by X-tile software according to the 1-year mortality and patient groups were created: low-NLR (< 4.8), high-NLR (4.8 - 21.1), and very high-NLR (> 21.1). Cox and modified Poisson regression models were used to evaluate the effect of NLR on outcomes in critically AMI patients.

**Results:** Finally, 782 critical AMI patients were enrolled in this study, and the 1-year mortality was 32% (249/782). The high- and very high-NLR groups had a higher incidence of outcomes than the low-NLR group (P < 0.05). The multivariate regression analyses found that the high- and very high-NLR groups had a higher risk of 1-year mortality (Hazard ratio (HR) = 1.59, 95% Cl: 1.12 to 2.24, P = 0.009 and HR = 1.73, 95% Cl: 1.09 to 2.73, P = 0.020), 90-day mortality (HR = 1.69, 95% Cl: 1.13 to 2.54, P = 0.011 and HR = 1.90, 95% Cl: 1.13 to 3.20, P = 0.016), in-hospital mortality (Relative risk (RR) = 1.77, 95% Cl: 1.14 to 2.74, P = 0.010 and RR = 2.10, 95% Cl: 1.23 to 3.58, P = 0.007), and AKI incidence (RR = 1.44, 95% Cl: 1.06 to 1.95, P = 0.018 and RR = 1.34, 95% Cl: 0.87 to 2.07, P = 0.180) compared with low-NLR group. NLR retained stable predictive ability in sensitivity analyses.

Conclusion: Baseline NLR is an independent risk factor for 1-year mortality, 90-day mortality, in-hospital mortality, and AKI incidence in AMI patients.

Keywords: acute myocardial infarction; neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio; mortality; inflammation

Submitted: May 6, 2022

Accepted: September 09, 2022

#### Introduction

Acute myocardial infarction (AMI) is one of the significant causes of death with a high incidence (1). The estimated annual incidence of AMI in the United States is 650,000 (2). The rupture of atherosclerotic plaques is the most common cause of AMI, and infiltration of inflammatory cells can be found in ruptured plaques (3). Cardiomyocyte death and inflammatory response triggers are observed in AMI patients (4). Studies have shown that inflammation indicators, such as C-reactive protein (CRP), systemic immune-inflammation index (SII), and neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), are clearly related to myocardial infarction (5-7).

https://doi.org/10.11613/BM.2023.010702

Biochem Med (Zagreb) 2023;33(1):010702

<sup>©</sup>Copyright by Croatian Society of Medical Biochemistry and Laboratory Medicine. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits users to read, download, copy, distribute, print, search, or link to the full texts of these articles in any medium or format and to remix, transform and build upon and the material, provided the original work is properly cited and any changes properly indicated.

The NLR, first proposed by Zahorec in 2001, is an inflammation-related index calculated from complete blood count (CBC) (8). It is reported to be associated with atherosclerosis, tumours, and Behcet's disease (9-12). High NLR appeared to be associated with mortality and major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) in patients with AMI (13). High NLR in patients with non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI) was reported to be associated with higher mortality and major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE), while Cho et al. recommended NLR combined with anaemia as an independent risk factor in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) (13-15). In addition, NLR also has predictive power in AMI patients with cardiogenic shock and after reperfusion therapy (16,17). In contrast, NLR had better predictive power for mortality after NSTEMI compared with neutrophil-monocyte ratio (NMR), platelet-lymphocyte ratio (PLR), and lymphocyte-monocyte ratio (LMR) (13). However, the population and outcomes of NLR reported in AMI patients were highly heterogeneous, and the definitions of high/low NLR vary widely among studies (10).

This retrospective cohort study was designed to evaluate whether NLR can predict the poor prognosis of critical patients with AMI, and to explore optimal cut-off values of NLR in AMI.

#### **Materials and methods**

#### Methods

Hospitalization information of AMI patients admitted to Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center from 2001 to 2012 was extracted from the Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care-III (MIMIC) database, while the death information was from the Social Security database. The MIMIC-III database was approved by the Institutional Review Boards (IRB) of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT, Cambridge, USA) and the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (BIDMC). The authors passed the "Protecting Human Research Participants" exam and obtained permission to access the dataset (authorization code: 43259734). Since the information in the database was anonymous, informed consent was exempt. The study complied with the ethical standards laid down in declaration of Helsinki.

We extracted patients' clinical information such as the essential information, laboratory indicators, sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) score, SII, comorbidities, medications, and reperfusion therapy. Essential information included age, gender, body mass index (BMI), smoke status, and survival status. Laboratory indicators included white blood cell count (WBC), neutrophil percentage (N%), lymphocyte percentage (L%), haemoglobin (Hb), haematocrit (Hct), red cell distribution width (RDW), platelet count (PLT), and serum creatinine (SCr). Comorbidities included hypertension (HT), diabetes mellitus (DM), hyperlipidaemia, heart failure (HF), shock, prior myocardial infarction (prior-MI), and atrial fibrillation (AF). Medications included taking angiotensin Converting Enzyme Inhibitor (ACEI), β-blocker, aspirin, statin, and clopidogrel. Additionally, obesity was defined as  $BMI \ge$ 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (18). The neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio was calculated as N%/L%. Because NLR was the primary object of our study, patients with missing N% or L% data were excluded directly. In addition, multivariate imputation was used for variables with less than 30% missing values.

#### Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Among more than 50,000 critical patients in the database, patients who met the following criteria were included: (1) intensive care unit (ICU) patients; (2) ICU stay  $\ge$  24 hours; (3) discharge diagnosis of AMI according to the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) - Ninth Revision code between 410.00 and 410.92 (19). The exclusion criteria were: (1) < 18 or > 90 years; (2) missing CBC data; (3) patients diagnosed with trauma, leukaemia or lymphoma, chronic inflammatory, malignant disease, or recent blood transfusion. Only the records of the first ICU admission were included for repeated admissions.

#### **Definition of outcomes in AMI patients**

Mortalities in our study were defined as all-cause mortalities. The primary outcome was mortality within one year since admission (hereafter referred to as 1-year mortality). In contrast, secondary outcomes were mortality within 90 days since admission (hereafter referred to as 90-day mortality), inhospital mortality, and AKI incidence. Acute kidney injury was defined as increased SCr concentrations to  $\geq$  1.5 times baseline within the previous seven days according to the Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) criteria (20).

#### Statistical analysis

The optimal cut-off values of NLR were picked by X-tile software (Yale University, New Haven, USA) according to the 1-year mortality of critical AMI patients (Supplementary Figure 1) (21). Moreover, patients were divided into three groups: low-NLR (< 4.8), high-NLR (4.8-21.1) and very high-NLR (> 21.1).

The Shapiro-Wilks method was used to test the normality of continuous variables. Continuous variables that conform to normal distribution were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD) and analysed by Student's *t*-test; otherwise, they were expressed as median (interquartile range, IQR) and analysed by Wilcoxon rank-sum. Categorical variables were expressed by the number of the observations divided with the total number of subjects within the group (N/total), and Chi-square test or Fisher's exact probability method was used to analyse the difference in constituent ratios. *Post hoc* analyses were performed with Bonferroni adjust-

ment. Gender, age, and variables with P < 0.05 in difference analysis were included as confounding variables in multivariate Cox and modified Poisson regression models with NLR. Variance inflation factor (VIF) was calculated between confounding variables using R packages "performance" and "see", and a VIF > 5 indicated the presence of multicollinearity that is detrimental to the regression model (22). In order to verify the robustness of the results, a series of sensitivity analyses were performed.

All statistical tests were two-sided with  $\alpha = 0.05$ and considered statistically significant with P < 0.05. Researcher A performed analyses using R software, version 4.0.3 (R Core Team, Vienna, Austria), and researcher B used IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 26.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, United States), respectively.

#### Results

#### **Baseline characteristics**

Among 782 critical AMI patients enrolled, the median (range) age was 68 (19-89) years, and 35% were female (272/782). The 1-year mortality of admission was 32% (95% confidence interval (CI): 29 - 35%; 249/782), of which 193 died within 90 days and 138 died in the hospital. The flow chart of enrolled patients is shown in Figure 1 (Figure 1).



FIGURE 1. Flow chart of patients enrolled in the study. AMI - acute myocardial infarction. N% - neutrophil percentage. L% - lymphocyte percentage.

Characteristic	low-NLR (<4.8), N = 195	high-NLR (4.8-21.1), N = 503	very high-NLR (>21.1), N=84	Р
Female, N (%)	76 (41)	165 (33)	31 (37)	0.280
Age, years	66 (34-89)	66 (34-89) 69 (19-89)		0.414
Smokers, N (%)	23 (12)	60 (12)	11 (13)	0.949
Obesity, N (%)	49 (25)	137 (27)	19 (23)	0.621
WBC, x 10 <sup>9</sup> /L	11.0 (8.1-13.9)	13.0 (10.2-16.4)*	17.4 (12.9-21.1)*†	< 0.001
N%, %	69 (63-74)	84 (80-88)*	91 (88-94) <sup>*†</sup>	< 0.001
L%, %	21 (18-27)	9 (7-12) <sup>*</sup>	3 (2-4)*†	< 0.001
Hb, g/L	115 (97-130)	116 (101-132)	115 (100-129)	0.499
Hct, L/L	0.340 (0.294-0.378)	0.345 (0.298-0.391)	0.335 (0.305-0.384)	0.495
RDW, %	14 (13-15)	14 (13-15)	14 (14-17)*†	< 0.001
PLT, x 10 <sup>9</sup> /L	216 (165-277)	227 (170-276)	225 (181-281)	0.255
SCr, µmol/L	80 (71 -115)	97 (71-133)*	106 (80-177)*	< 0.001
SOFA	3 (1-6)	4 (2-7)*	6 (3-9)*†	< 0.001
SII	656 (402-980)	1988 (1363-3076)*	6873 (5402-9378) <sup>*†</sup>	< 0.001
Outcomes, N (%)				
AKI incidence	40 (21)	146 (29)	26 (31)	0.053
In-hospital mortality	19 (10)	92 (18)*	27 (32)*†	< 0.001
90-day mortality	29 (15)	130 (26)*	34 (44)*†	< 0.001
1-year mortality	41 (21)	169 (34)*	39 (46)*	< 0.001
Comorbidities, N (%)				
HT	100 (51)	218 (43)	31 (37)	0.053
DM	56 (29)	152 (30)	25 (30)	0.927
Hyperlipidaemia	37 (19)	114 (23)	12 (14)	0.164
HF	76 (39)	251 (50)*	47 (56)*	0.010
Shock	37 (19)	131 (26)	21 (25)	0.145
PriorMI	11 (6)	31 (6)	4 (5)	0.868
AF	55 (28)	166 (33)	28 (33)	0.452
Medications, N (%)				
ACEI	111/195 (57)	286 (57)	37 (44)	0.082
β-blocker	151/195 (77)	383 (76)	55 (65)	0.081
Aspirin	119/195 (61)	279 (55)	47 (56)	0.405
Statin	89/195 (46)	222 (44)	33 (39)	0.614
Clopidogrel	56/195 (29)	178 (35)	21 (25)	0.070
Reperfusion	137/195 (70)	334 (66)	38 (45)*†	< 0.001

**TABLE 1.** Baseline characteristics of low-, high- and very high-NLR groups

Age is presented as median (range). Continuous data are presented as median (interquartile range). Categorical data are presented as the number of the observations divided with the total number of subjects within the group. \*Statistically significant difference between this group and the low-NLR group. † Statistically significant difference between this group and the high-NLR group. NLR - Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio. WBC - White blood cell count. N% - Neutrophil percentage. L% - Lymphocyte percentage. Hb - Haemoglobin. Hct - Haematocrit. RDW - Red cell distribution width. PLT – Platelet count. SCr - Serum creatinine. SOFA - Sequential Organ Failure Assessment. SII - Systemic immune-inflammation index. AKI - Acute kidney injury. HT - Hypertension. DM - Diabetes mellitus. HF - Heart failure. priorMI - Prior myocardial infarction. AF - Atrial fibrillation. ACEI - Angiotensin Converting Enzyme Inhibitor.

Baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1. Compared with the low-NLR group, high- and very high-NLR groups had higher WBC, N%, and SCr concentrations and lower L% in laboratory results; and the very high-NLR group had higher RDW levels than low- and high-NLR groups. High- and very high-NLR groups had a higher incidence of outcomes than the low-NLR group (P < 0.05).

#### Predictive ability of NLR for primary outcome

The 1-year mortality of low-, high- and very high-NLR groups was compared by log-rank and univariate Cox regression analysis, and Kaplan-Meier (KM) survival curve was drawn (Figure 2). The results showed statistical differences among three groups (log-rank P < 0.001), and high NLR appeared to contribute to mortality. Because of the baseline imbalance between the three groups (Table 1), multivariate Cox regression was used to control for bias caused by confounding variables.

There was no multicollinearity between NLR and confounding variables as all VIFs were < 5 (Supplementary Figure 2). After adjusting for gender, age, SCr, WBC, RDW, HF, and reperfusion therapy, it was found that compared with the low-NLR group, the

high- and very high-NLR groups had a higher risk of 1-year mortality (Hazard ratio (HR) = 1.59, 95% CI: 1.12 to 2.24, P = 0.009 and HR = 1.73, 95% CI: 1.09 to 2.73, P = 0.020, respectively) (Table 2, Figure 3A).

## Predictive ability of NLR for secondary outcomes

The univariate analysis found that both high- and very high-NLR groups had higher rates of 90-day mortality, in-hospital mortality, and AKI incidence than the low-NLR group. After adjusting for gender, age, SCr, WBC, RDW, HF, and reperfusion therapy, it was found that high- and very high-NLR groups had higher 90-day mortalities and in-hospital mortalities, and the high-NLR group had a higher AKI incidence than the low-NLR group (Table 2-3, Figure 3B-D). No significant difference was found in AKI incidence between low-NLR and very high-NLR groups (P > 0.05) (Table 3, Figure 3D).

#### Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analyses were performed further to verify the predictive ability of NLR in different populations. First, NLR's best dichotomous cut-off value





			unadjusted	ł		adjusted*	
Outcomes	Groups	HR	95% CI	Р	HR	95% CI	Р
	low-NLR						
1-year mortality	high-NLR	1.74	1.24-2.45	0.001	1.59	1.12-2.24	0.009
	very high-NLR	2.69	1.74-4.18	< 0.001	1.73	1.09-2.73	0.020
90-day mortality	low-NLR						
	high-NLR	1.85	1.24-2.77	0.003	1.69	1.13-2.54	0.011
	very high-NLR	3.17	1.93-5.20	< 0.001	1.90	1.13-3.20	0.016

TABLE 2. Cox regression analysis of NLR on 1-year and 90-day mortality

\*Adjusted for gender, age, serum creatinine, white blood cell count, red cell distribution width, and heart failure and reperfusion therapy. The low-NLR was considered the control group. NLR - Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio. HR - Hazard ratio. Cl - Confidence interval.



**FIGURE 3.** Multivariate regression analyses for the primary and secondary outcomes. A. Multivariate regression analyses for 1-year mortality; B. Multivariate regression analyses for 90-day mortality; C. Multivariate regression analyses for In-hospital mortality; D. Multivariate regression analyses for AKI incidence. NLR - Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio. HR - Hazard ratio. CI - Confidence interval. AKI - Acute kidney injury. RR - Relative risk. WBC - white blood cell count. RDW - red cell distribution width.

was 8, which was obtained from X-tile. Cox regression and modified Poisson regression were used to analyse the relationship between NLR and outcomes in AMI patients. It was found that patients with NLR  $\ge$  8 had higher 1-year mortality (HR = 1.37, 95%CI: 1.05 to 1.78, P = 0.021), 90-day mortality (HR = 1.51, 95%CI: 1.11 to 2.05, P = 0.008) and inhospital mortality (RR = 1.62, 95%CI: 1.17 to 2.24, P

Biochem Med (Zagreb) 2023;33(1):**010702** 

			unadjusted	1		adjusted*	
Outcomes	Groups	RR	95%Cl	Р	RR	95%Cl	Р
	low-NLR						
In-hospital mortality	high-NLR	1.88	1.18-2.99	0.008	1.77	1.14-2.74	0.010
	very high-NLR	3.30	1.95-5.60	< 0.001	2.10	1.23-3.58	0.007
AKI incidence	low-NLR						
	high-NLR	1.42	1.04-1.93	0.027	1.44	1.06-1.95	0.018
	very high-NLR	1.51	0.99-2.30	0.056	1.34	0.87-2.07	0.180

**TABLE 3.** Modified Poisson regression analysis of NLR on in-hospital mortality and AKI incidence

\*Adjusted for gender, age, serum creatinine, white blood cell count, red cell distribution width, and heart failure and reperfusion therapy. The low-NLR was considered the control group. NLR - Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio. AKI - Acute kidney injury. RR - Relative risk. CI - Confidence interval.

Variables	Ν		HR (95%CI)
Age ≥ 65	464		
low-NLR	104		1
high-NLR	306		1.57(1.04-2.36)
very high-NLR	54		1.73(1.01-2.97)
Age< 65	318		
low-NLR	91		1
high-NLR	197		1.73(0.89-2.36)
very high-NLR	30		2.10(0.86-5.13)
Male	510		
low-NLR	119		1
high-NLR	338		1.46(0.93-2.30)
very high-NLR	53		1.50(0.82-2.74)
Female	272		
low-NLR	76		1
high-NLR	165		1.78(1.04-3.06)
very high-NLR	31		1.98(0.95-4.10)
Reperfusion (Yes)	509		
low-NLR	137		1
high-NLR	334		2.34(1.33-4.11)
very high-NLR	38		2.35(1.12-4.96)
Reperfusion (No)	273		
low-NLR	58		1
high-NLR	169		1.11(0.71-1.74)
very high-NLR	46		1.20(0.67-2,15)
Heart failure (Yes)	374		
low-NLR	76		1
high-NLR	251		1.82(1.11-2.97)
very high-NLR	47		2.13(1.14-3.99)
Heart failure (No)	408		
low-NLR	119		1
high-NLR	252	+	1.38(0.84-2.28)
very high-NLR	37		1.09(0.52-2.25)
		0.25 0.50 1.0 2.0 4.0 8.0	

**FIGURE 4.** Subgroup analysis of three NLR Groups. NLR - Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio. HR - Hazard ratio. CI - Confidence interval. = 0.004) than that with NLR < 8, but no significant difference was found in AKI incidence (RR = 1.27, 95%CI: 1.00 to 1.60, P = 0.052) between two groups (Supplementary Table 1-2). Additionally, subgroup analyses were performed. The results showed that NLR retained stable predictive ability in critical AMI patients aged  $\geq$  65 years, with reperfusion therapy and with HF (Figure 4).

#### Discussion

This study identified that baseline NLR was an independent risk factor for adverse prognostic events in critically patients with AMI and provided optimal cut-off values of NLR for risk stratification. Moreover, we found that patients in high- and very-high NLR groups experienced higher risks for death within one year with 1.59- to 1.73-fold higher hazards compared with patients in the low-NLR group. The clinicians can use the results of this study for quick risk stratification of AMI patients when flipping through the CBC results.

The neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio ranged from 0.78-3.53 in healthy adults, and there is currently no well-accepted reference value for NLR in most diseases (23). Previous studies of NLR mostly performed dichotomization of data. The neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio > 4 was associated with worse overall survival in patients with solid tumours, while it was > 6.11 in Corona virus disease (11,23,24). Heart failure patients with elevated NLR

(> 2.1 - 7.6) suffered from a worse prognosis (25). In AMI patients, the cut-off value was > 3.30 - 8.16 (which was highly variable), and in sepsis patients, it was as high as the value of 10 (26-28). However, dichotomizing continuous variables, such as taking the median, will lead to a considerable loss of information and a higher risk of false positives (29). At this time, multi-classification can combine clinical needs well and reduce information loss (30). Therefore, this study provided two reference values of 4.8 and 21.1 for NLR in critical AMI patients by X-tile software, which were validated to be specific, robust, and of practical value.

A series of subsequent statistical analyses showed that patients with higher inflammatory indicators of WBC and SII tend to have higher levels of NLR, suggesting that NLR could reflect the body's inflammatory state, which was consistent with previous studies (7). We also found that the proportion of reperfusion therapy decreased with the increase in NLR. It might result from multiple factors, such as onset time of chest pain, age, patient willingness, and so on. Multivariate analysis suggested that both high- and very high-NLR were independent predictors of poor prognosis for critical AMI patients. A similar result was found by Liu et al. that NLR  $\geq$  3.17 was significantly associated with higher mortality and incidence of MACE in AMI patients (14). However, our study classified NLR in a more detailed way, allowing clinicians to carry out more precise risk stratification. Patients with highand very high-NLR had a higher risk of death within one year, and the same trends were observed in the 90-day and in-hospital mortality. However, for the incidence of in-hospital AKI, patients with high-NLR were at higher risk than those with low-NLR; the very high-NLR group had a higher incidence of AKI compared with the low- and high-NLR groups, but the differences were not statistically significant (P > 0.05), probably caused by the limited sample size of this group. Previous studies have suggested that advanced age, chronic kidney disease, and HF are related to inflammatory response status and associated with higher mortality of AMI patients (31,32). Yan et al. found that NLR was a potential independent marker of mortality in elderly patients with AMI, which was consistent with our subgroup analysis (33). Physicians need to be vigilant in AMI patients with high- and very high-NLR, especially those with advanced age, higher SCr and WBC concentrations, HF, and those without reperfusion therapy.

Our study has two limitations. First, this was a single-center retrospective study with a predominantly Caucasian population (491/782, 63%). So, there might be some selection bias. Second, some prognostic factors such as CRP, troponin, infarct location, and left ventricular function have been shown to correlate with the prognosis of AMI, but these were not included in our study (34). Therefore, the findings should better be further verified by prospective, multicenter studies.

In conlusion, the neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio is an independent prognostic factor of 1-year mortality, 90-day mortality, in-hospital mortality, and AKI incidence in critical AMI patients. More attention should be paid to AMI patients with NLR  $\geq$  4.8, especially those with advanced age, higher SCr and WBC concentration, HF, and those without reperfusion therapy.

#### Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 81874033), the Key Specialty of Discipline Construction Project of Shanghai Health Committee (Grant no. ZK2019B25), the Key Sub-specialty of Discipline Construction Project of Pudong New Area Health Committee (Grant No. PWZy2020-08), the Peak Discipline Construction of Pudong New Area Health Committee (Grant No. PWYgf2021-04), and the Epidemiological Investigation of Atrial Fibrillation in Pudong New Area and Prospective Cohort Study on Whole-process Management of Atrial Fibrillation under Hierarchical Diagnosis and Treatment Mode (Grant No. PKJ2021-Y33).

#### **Author contributions**

All authors made substantial contributions to all of the following: (1) the conception and design of the study, or acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data, (2) drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content, (3) final approval of the version to be submitted.

#### Potential conflict of interest

None declared.

#### Data availability statement

The data supporting this study are available from Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care III da-

tabase, but there are some restrictions to the availability of these data, which were used under license for the current study, and so are not publicly available. Data are available from the authors upon reasonable request.

#### References

- 1. Reed GW, Rossi JE, Cannon CP. Acute myocardial infarction. Lancet. 2017;389:197-210. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30677-8
- 2. Atreya AR, Patlolla SH, Devireddy CM, Jaber WA, Rab ST, Nicholson WJ, et al. Geographic variation and temporal trends in management and outcomes of cardiac arrest complicating acute myocardial infarction in the United States. Resuscitation. 2022;170:339-48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. resuscitation.2021.11.002
- 3. Falk E, Nakano M, Bentzon JF, Finn AV, Virmani R. Update on acute coronary syndromes: the pathologists' view. Eur Heart J. 2013;34:719-28. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehs411
- 4. Frangogiannis NG. Regulation of the inflammatory response in cardiac repair. Circ Res. 2012;110:159-73. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.111.243162
- 5. Nissen SE, Tuzcu EM, Schoenhagen P, Crowe T, Sasiela WJ, Tsai J, et al. Statin therapy, LDL cholesterol, C-reactive protein, and coronary artery disease. N Engl J Med. 2005;352:29-38. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa042000
- 6. Ross R. Atherosclerosis an inflammatory disease. N Engl J Med. 1999;340:115-26. https://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJM199901143400207
- 7. Yang YL, Wu CH, Hsu PF, Chen SC, Huang SS, Chan WL, et al. Systemic immune-inflammation index (SII) predicted clinical outcome in patients with coronary artery disease. Eur J Clin Invest. 2020;50:e13230. https://doi.org/10.1111/ eci.13230
- 8. Zahorec R. Ratio of neutrophil to lymphocyte counts--rapid and simple parameter of systemic inflammation and stress in critically ill. Bratisl Lek Listy. 2001;102:5-14.
- 9. Balta S, Ozturk C, Yildirim AO, Erdogan S, Celik T. The neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio and atherosclerosis. Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther. 2016;14:885. https://doi.org/10.1080/1477 9072.2016.1194199
- 10. Dentali F, Nigro O, Squizzato A, Gianni M, Zuretti F, Grandi AM, Guasti L. Impact of neutrophils to lymphocytes ratio on major clinical outcomes in patients with acute coronary syndromes: A systematic review and meta-analysis of the literature. Int J Cardiol. 2018;266:31-7. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2018.02.116

- 11. Templeton AJ, McNamara MG, Šeruga B, Vera-Badillo FE, Aneja P, Ocaña A, et al. Prognostic role of neutrophil-tolymphocyte ratio in solid tumors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2014;106:dju124. https:// doi.org/10.1093/jnci/dju124
- 12. Ozturk C, Balta S, Balta I, Demirkol S, Celik T, Turker T, et al. Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio and carotid-intima media thickness in patients with Behçet disease without cardiovascular involvement. Angiology. 2015;66:291-6. https://doi. org/10.1177/0003319714527638
- 13. Ji Z, Liu G, Guo J, Zhang R, Su Y, Carvalho A, et al. The Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio Is an Important Indicator Predicting In-Hospital Death in AMI Patients. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2021;8:706852. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fcvm.2021.706852
- 14. Liu J, Ao W, Zhou J, Luo P, Wang Q, Xiang D. The correlation between PLR-NLR and prognosis in acute myocardial infarction. Am J Trans Res. 2021;13:4892-9.
- 15. Cho KH, Shin MH, Kim MC, Sim DS, Hong YJ, Kim JH, et al. Prognostic Value of Baseline Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio Combined With Anemia in Patients With ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction: A Nationwide Prospective Cohort Study. J Lipid Atheroscler. 2022;11:147-60. https:// doi.org/10.12997/jla.2022.11.2.147
- 16. Sasmita BR, Zhu Y, Gan H, Hu X, Xue Y, Xiang Z, et al. Prognostic value of neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio in cardiogenic shock complicating acute myocardial infarction: A cohort study. Int J Clin Pract. 2021;75:e14655. https://doi. org/10.1111/ijcp.14655
- 17. Hong D, Choi KH, Song YB, Lee JM, Park TK, Yang JH, et al. Prognostic implications of post-percutaneous coronary intervention neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio on infarct size and clinical outcomes in patients with acute myocardial infarction. Sci Rep. 2019;9:9646. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-019-46117-8
- Caballero B. Humans against Obesity: Who Will Win? Adv Nutr. 2019;10:S4-S9. https://doi.org/10.1093/advances/ nmy055
- 19. Levine GN, Bates ER, Bittl JA, Brindis RG, Fihn SD, Fleisher LA, et al. 2016 ACC/AHA Guideline Focused Update on Duration of Dual Antiplatelet Therapy in Patients With Coronary

Artery Disease: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines: An Update of the 2011 ACCF/AHA/SCAI Guideline for Percutaneous Coronary Intervention, 2011 ACCF/AHA Guideline for Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery, 2012 ACC/AHA/ACP/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS Guideline for the Diagnosis and Management of Patients With Stable Ischemic Heart Disease, 2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction, 2014 AHA/ACC Guideline for the Management of Patients With Non-ST-Elevation Acute Coronary Syndromes, and 2014 ACC/AHA Guideline on Perioperative Cardiovascular Evaluation and Management of Patients Undergoing Noncardiac Surgery. Circulation. 2016;134:e123-55. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.00000000000404

- 20. Kellum JA, Lameire N, KDIGO AKI Guideline Work Group. Diagnosis, evaluation, and management of acute kidney injury: a KDIGO summary (Part 1). Crit Care. 2013;17:204. https://doi.org/10.1186/cc11454
- Camp RL, Dolled-Filhart M, Rimm DL. X-tile: a new bio-informatics tool for biomarker assessment and outcome-based cut-point optimization. Clin Cancer Res. 2004;10:7252-9. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-04-0713
- 22. Poullis C. An introduction to statistical learning: with applications in R. J Comp Rev. 2014;55:728-9.
- 23. Forget P, Khalifa C, Defour JP, Latinne D, Van Pel MC, De Kock M. What is the normal value of the neutrophil-tolymphocyte ratio? BMC Res Notes. 2017;10:12. https://doi. org/10.1186/s13104-016-2335-5
- 24. Cai J, Li H, Zhang C, Chen Z, Liu H, Lei F, et al. The Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio Determines Clinical Efficacy of Corticosteroid Therapy in Patients with COVID-19. Cell Metab. 2021;33:258-69.e3. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cmet.2021.01.002
- 25. Delcea C, Buzea CA, Dan GA. The neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio in heart failure: a comprehensive review. Rom J Intern Med. 2019;57:296-314. https://doi.org/10.2478/rjim-2019-0018
- 26. Zhang S, Zhu H, Zhao R, Zheng X, Lu Q, Liu S, et al. Value of neutrophils/lymphocytes ratio on predicting the prognosis of patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction undergoing percutaneous coronary interventi-

on: a meta-analysis. Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi. 2015;43:264-8. (In Chinese)

- 27. Lin G, Dai C, Xu K, Wu M. Predictive value of neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio and red cell distribution width on death for ST segment elevation myocardial infarction. Sci Rep. 2021;11:11506. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-91082-w
- 28. Huang Z, Fu Z, Huang W, Huang K. Prognostic value of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in sepsis: A meta-analysis. Am J Emerg Med. 2020;38:641-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ajem.2019.10.023
- 29. MacCallum RC, Zhang S, Preacher KJ, Rucker DD. On the practice of dichotomization of quantitative variables. Psychol Methods. 2002;7:19-40. https://doi. org/10.1037/1082-989X.7.1.19
- Altman DG, Royston P. The cost of dichotomising continuous variables. BMJ. 2006;332:1080. https://doi.org/10.1136/ bmj.332.7549.1080
- 31. Roumeliotis S, Veljkovic A, Georgianos PI, Lazarevic G, Perisic Z, Hadzi-Djokic J, et al. Association between Biomarkers of Oxidative Stress and Inflammation with Cardiac Necrosis and Heart Failure in Non-ST Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction Patients and Various Degrees of Kidney Function. Oxid Med Cell Longev. 2021;2021:3090120. https://doi. org/10.1155/2021/3090120
- 32. Amdur RL, Feldman HI, Dominic EA, Anderson AH, Beddhu S, Rahman M, et al. Use of Measures of Inflammation and Kidney Function for Prediction of Atherosclerotic Vascular Disease Events and Death in Patients With CKD: Findings From the CRIC Study. Am J Kidney Dis. 2019;73:344-53. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2018.09.012
- 33. Yan XN, Jin JL, Zhang M, Hong LF, Guo YL, Wu NQ, et al. Differential leukocyte counts and cardiovascular mortality in very old patients with acute myocardial infarction: a Chinese cohort study. BMC Cardiovasc Disord. 2020;20:465. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12872-020-01743-3
- 34. Chen RZ, Liu C, Zhou P, Tan Y, Sheng ZX, Li JN, et al. Associations between postprocedural D-dimer, hs-CRP, LDL-C levels and prognosis of acute myocardial infarction patients treated by percutaneous coronary intervention. Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi. 2020;48:359-66. (In Chinese)